

**Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Title**

Deriving Daytime Variables From the AmeriFlux Standard Eddy Covariance Data Set

**Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5fn5s0sf>

**Author**

Ingen, Catharine van

**Publication Date**

2008-12-06



FROM THE AMERIFLUX STANDARD EDDY COVARIANCE DATA SET

Catharine van Ingen<sup>ac</sup> (vaningen@microsoft.com), Deb Agarwal<sup>abd</sup> (daagarwal@lbl.gov), Marty Humphrey<sup>e</sup> (humphrey@cs.virginia.edu), Jie Li<sup>e</sup> (jl3yhrey@cs.virginia.edu)



Berkeley Water Center<sup>a</sup>; Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory<sup>b</sup>; Microsoft Research<sup>c</sup>; University of California, Berkeley<sup>d</sup>; University of Virginia<sup>e</sup>

Abstract

A gap-filled, quality assessed eddy covariance dataset has recently become available for the AmeriFlux network. This dataset uses standard processing and produces commonly used science variables. This shared dataset enables robust comparisons across different analyses. Of course, there are many remaining questions. One of those is how to define "during the day" which is an important concept for many analyses. Some studies have used local time – for example 9am to 5pm; others have used thresholds on photosynthetic active radiation (PAR). A related question is how to derive quantities such as the Bowen ratio. Most studies compute the ratio of the averages of the latent heat (LE) and sensible heat (H). In this study, we use different methods of defining "during the day" for GPP, LE, and H. We evaluate the differences between methods in two ways. First, we look at a number of statistics of GPP. Second, we look at differences in the derived Bowen ratio. Our goal is not science per se, but rather informatics in support of the science.




203 site-years of data from 53 AmeriFlux sites were used in this study



The daytime values computed with local time daytime differ from those computed with PAR > 5 or solar elevation. The computed daytimes always give a higher value of GPP.

Example computations of daytime GPP over a site year.

Local time based daytimes are simple time windows of 9am to 5pm and 10am to 4pm.







PAR (or PPFD) daytime uses the observed photosynthetic active radiation flux data. "Daytime" is when PAR > is above 5 or above 20.


Solar elevation (or daylength equation) daytime is centered at local noon with a length of day (Daylength) computed as:

Declination = 23.5 \* cos(2π \* (DayOfYear - 172) / 365)

Daylength = acos(-tan(π \* Latitude / 180) \* tan(π \* Declination / 180)) \* 2 / 15 \* 180 / π




Daytime values of Bowen Ratio computed by solar elevation and PAR>5 differ more than the daily GPP values. We're don't yet know why.




Annual values of GPP computed with local time daytime tend to be consistent. The 9am to 5pm daytime values tend to be 25% larger – a bit less than simple linear scaling of the time window. The differences are larger with smaller annual GPP values.

Table listing 53 AmeriFlux sites with columns for site ID, Name, Tower PI, PI E-Mail, Name, Tower PI, and PI E-Mail.

While the annual values of GPP computed with the PAR > 5 and solar elevation tend to be the same, the actual duration of the "daytime" are different.



The plots at left show the number of site-days with a given length of daytime. Season are defined with 3 month duration; winter begins in December.





## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.